	١
	ı
(0)	,

	:PORT DOCU	MENIAHON	PAGE	00	n\/	
AD-A228 949		TO RESTRICTIVE I	MADING F	ILE CO	 	
		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF	REPORT		
26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU N/A	unlimited					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE 0388-1	5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFDSR-TR- () () () 9.7					
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Univ. of Maryland	6b Office SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR				
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) College Park, MD 20742	7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20332-5260					
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR-87-0388					
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOUPCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS				
Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20332-5260		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO. 2305	TASK NOB/	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)		10110111	1	<u> </u>		
Connectionist Models for Intel	lligent Computat	ion				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) H.H. Chen ar	nd Y.C. Lee					
13a. Type of Report Annual Technical 13b. TIME Con FROM 9/	OYFRED 1/88 TO 8/31/89	14. DATE OF REPO 7/26/89	RT (Year, Month,	Day) 15 PAC	SE COUNT	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS	Continue on revers	e if necessary and	d identify by b	lock number)	
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP				,, ., .,	rock managery	
] .					
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
In the past year, we have network architectures 2) co and 3) proposed a novel sch learning.	l) continued our	investigati preopsis netw nutomatically	ork that is	learned and ne network	nalytically while	
	Approved for pul Distribution U	Se tejecnet		SNOV 16		
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS	RPT DTIC USERS	1 11/	CURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION (2)		
220. NAME OF PERPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		12) IELEPHONE	include Arga Code	S) SSC OFFICE	SYMBOL	
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 7 83 A	PR edition may be used u All other editions are o		SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	ON OF THIS PAGE	

Unclassified

Project Title: Connectionist Models for Intelligent Computation

Contract/Grant No.: AFOSR-87-0388

Contract/Grant Period of Performance: Sept. 1, 1988-Aug. 31, 1989

Principal Investigator: H. H. Chen Telephone: (301) 454-3182 Y. C. Lee (301) 454-3177

Research Objective:

To study the underlying principles, architectures and appilications of artificial neural networks for intelligent computations.

Approach:

We use both numerical simulation and theoretical analysis to investigate various alternatives in connection schemes, organization principles and architectures of aritificial neural networks.

Progress for period 9/1/88-8/31/89:

In the past year, our research on neural network models for intelligent computing under the sponsorship of AFOSR continued to make important progress. In particular, we have constructed the Parallel Sequential Induction Network, a powerful network that self-organizes into an optimal structure to perform classification tasks.

└ In neural network research, much attention has been paid to improving the efficiency of learning connection weights for a network with fixed topology. However, little progress has been made toward uncovering optimal designing principles to reshape the connection topology of a network adaptively to maximize the performance of a specific task. Recent studies indicate that multi-layered feedforward networks of sufficient complexity, in general, need only two hidden layers to imitate any decision hypersurface in the pattern space. However, little is known about the learning process that takes place in the formation of these decision regions. In fact, we can well imagine the difficulty the network has to face in forming all these widely scattered disjoint decision regions necessary for the requisite task. Only input patterns that reside in the nearby locations could have a strong positive influence on the learning of their formation. The overwhelming majority of input patterns that lies outside this region would only contribute large noises and may cause failure for the network to learn properly.

We conceived a solution to this problem by combining the best of both the parallel and the sequential strategies to optimize the performance of a neural network glassifier. First, we took

a parallel approach by assigning an output decision neuron to each decision region in the pattern space. Instead of relying on a single decision neuron to carry the full burden of making the complex decision by itself, all the output decision neurons at each decision region would participate to share the arduous burden of figuring out the much simpler decision boundaries at their own respective local neighborhood. For these local decision neurons, there is no need to use a complicated network; often, simple perceptions would suffice for the job. These local decision neurons are, in a way, very similar to hidden neurons in a feedforward network. The main difference between them is that the former are not hidden and they can be directly trained without the need to backpropagate the errors through the hidden layers-a task which often causes the learning to slow down drastically.

The process of training the neural network is to reduce the intercategorical mixing of these input patterns received by each decision neuron. We proposed to use the mutual information entropy as the objective function to be maximized to reduce optimally the mixing of the input patterns. A stochastic gradient descent algorithm is derived for the optimization task. This self-organizing training with top-down inputs is found to be very efficient in solving complex decision problems.

Even though the above parallel self-orginization scheme for the connection topology could be made very efficient in solving difficult classification problems with complex decision boundaries by breaking it down into many small regions having simple boundaries, it could be (due to an insufficient number of decision neurons allocated or other reasons) that the learned networks are still not optimal in their performance. Our second strategy is to use a sequence of simple networks added on top existing network structures. The use of mutual information entropy as the objective function and the inherent self-organization behavior makes this procedure a natural choice. In general, a decision tree of networks will be grown to optimize the performance. However, since each network node in the tree is already an optimized parallel network, the trees thus obtained are usually small.

Although artificial neural networks have enjoyed a great deal rof success lately in a limited domain, the inherent deficiencies of current network models may ultimately prove too restrictive for the kinds of applications these models are originally intended for. One of the major shortcomings of the current neural networks is the inability of the networks to model the higher level human reasoning processes which are generally thought to require the expressiveness of a Turing machine. Most of the current generation of neural network architectures can/ be identified as the feedforward or nonrecursive kind. As such, y Codes they are essentially a kind of adaptive function estimator. ind/or



П

ial

Hence, their expressive power is necessarily extremely limited. In order to enhance their expressive power, it is imperative to add recursiveness and a working memory to the structure. In the coming years, we intend to pursue the study of learning procedures, the search of pertinent recurrent connections, and the interconnection between the neural network finite machine and the working memory.

<u>Publications</u>

- 1. Lee, Y. C., "Efficient Stochastic Gradient Descent Learning Algorithm for Neural Network." University of Maryland Preprint: CS-TR-1973, 1988.
- 2. Maxwell T., C. L. Giles and Y. C. Lee, "Transformation Invariance with High Order Correlation in Neural Net Architecture." University of Maryland Preprint: UMLPF 87-027.
- 3. Sun, G. Z., H. H. Chen and Y. C. Lee, "Parallel Sequential Inductive Network Architecture." <u>Proceeding</u>, Vol. 1: 2nd International Conference on Neural Networks, San Diego, 1938, p489.
- 4. ______, "Learning Decision Trees Using Parallel Sequential Induction Networks." <u>Neural Network</u>, Vol. 1, Supplement 1, 1988. p54.
- 5. _____, "A Neural Network Approach to Speech Recognition." Neural Network, Vol. 1, Supplement 1, 1988, p306.
- 6. ______, "Pattern Classifier Net Using Information Entropy." Submitted to the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Washington, DC, June 1989.
- 7. ______, "Hand Written Letter Recognition with Neural Networks." Submitted to the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Washington, DC, June 1989.